## APPEAL NO. 022399 FILED OCTOBER 24, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation	Act, TEX. LAB
CODE ANN. § 401.001 et seq. (1989 Act). A contested case hearing	was begun or
June 11, 2002, but continued to and completed on August 30, 2002. The	hearing office
determined that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compens	able injury or
that because there was no injury on	, there was no
willful or intentional injury; and that the claimant did not have disability.	The claiman
appeals on sufficiency of the evidence grounds. The respondent (carrier)	replies, urging
affirmance.	

## **DECISION**

Affirmed.

The disputed issues in this case involved questions of fact for the hearing officer to decide. There was conflicting evidence presented on the disputed issues. Section 410.165(a) provides that the hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). This is equally true regarding medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Aetna Insurance Company v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision for factual sufficiency of the evidence, we should reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); Pool v. Ford Motor Company, 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986). Applying this standard, we find no grounds to reverse the factual findings of the hearing officer.

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **AMERICAN CASUALTY COMPANY OF READING, PENNSYLVANIA** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

	Michael B. McShane Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge	
Thomas A. Knapp	
Appeals Judge	